ARE PHENYLHALOCARBENES AMBIPHILIC?

Robert A. Moss^{*}, Witold Ławrynowicz, and Linda M. Hadel Department of Chemistry, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

Nigel P. Hacker,^{1a} Nicholas J. Turro^{*}, Ian R. Gould,^{1b} and Yuan Cha Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027

Summary. In additions to simple alkenes and styrenes at 25°C, the selectivities of PhCF and PhCCI are best described as electrophilic.

Our 1979/80 classification of carbenes as electrophilic, ambiphilic, or nucleophilic placed the phenylhalocarbenes, PhCX, with the electrophiles.^{2,3} Their selectivity indices, \underline{m}_{CXY} ,² were lower than that of CCl₂, the classic electrophilic carbene, and the available relative reactivities were appropriate.^{2,4} We pointed out that calculated HOMO/LUMO differential orbital energies for PhCX/alkene additions predicted ambiphilicity for (e.g.) PhCF, but considered this offset by an "early" transition state, where the geometry strongly favored p/m over σ/π^* carbene/alkene orbital interactions.²

Six years later, there are reasons to reexamine the earlier classification: (a) PhCCl is reported to readily add to electron deficient olefins at $80^{\circ}C.^{5}$ (b) The <u>absolute</u> rate constants for the additions of PhCCl (and its <u>p</u>-MeO and <u>p</u>-Me derivatives) to several <u>p</u>-substituted styrenes give curved Hammett correlations, consistent with ambiphilic selectivity.⁶ Now we report new results that reaffirm the electrophilicity of PhCF and PhCCl.

We first determined the selectivities of PhCF and PhCC1 toward <u>p</u>-X-substitued styrenes $(X = MeO, Me, H, Cl, and CF_3)$ at 25°C. The carbenes were photolytically generated $(\lambda > 300 \text{ nm})$ from the appropriate 3-aryl-3-halodiazirines,⁷ and the relative reactivities were obtained from standard⁴ competitive reactions between pairs of alkenes, with analysis by calibrated hplc or gc.⁸ All product cyclopropanes were isolated and fully characterized. The resultant Hammett correlations for PhCF ($\rho = -0.22$) and PhCC1 ($\rho = -0.32$) appear in Fig. 1, where the carbenes are clearly seen to be electrophiles. There is no evidence of the curvature that appears in the correlations of the ambiphilic carbenes PhOCC1⁹ or MeOCC1.¹⁰ Additionally, the ρ value we observe for photolytically generated PhCC1 at 25°C (-0.32) agrees with $\rho = -0.33$ for the thermally generated species [PhCHC12/KO-<u>t</u>-Bu/18-Crown-6, 7°C1.¹¹

It is unclear why these product-based Hammett correlations are linear, whereas the intermediate-based (<u>i.e.</u> on $\underline{k_{abs}}$ for PhCX itself) correlations are curved.⁶ Any process

that quenches PhCX competitively with addition to styrenes will contribute to " \underline{k}_{abs} " when the carbene itself is followed, so that these Hammett correlations could be affected by unknown or adventitious quenchers. A referee suggests that the curved \underline{k}_{abs} Hammett correlations may reflect association between the carbene and the styrene prior to addition but independent of addition selectivity. For selectivity studies, the product-based results are probably more trustworthy because they account for the fate of the carbenes.

We next determined the relative reactivities (vs. isobutene) of PhCX toward the electron deficient alkenes methyl acrylate and acrylonitrile. Again, the carbenes were generated photolytically at 25°C, and the cyclopropane adducts were isolated and fully characterized. Product ratios were measured by calibrated capiltary gc. The results,¹² adjusted to a <u>trans-2</u>-butene standard, appear in Table I, where they are compared with data for MeCC1,³ PhOCC1,¹³ and MeOCC1¹⁴ (all generated from diazirines).

Examination of Table I, where the substrate set is designed to detect ambiphilicity,² shows PhCF and PhCCl to be electrophiles. Their selectivity patterns resemble those of electrophilic MeCCl (Table I) and CCl₂ (not shown: <u>cf</u>., refs 2 and 3). However, compared to MeCCl, where the electron deficient alkenes are <u>much</u> less reactive than <u>trans</u>-butene, there is some indication that nucleophilic (σ - π *) interactions play a stronger role in the corresponding reactions of PhCF and PhCCl. Even so, methyl acrylate and acrylonitrile are <u>less</u> reactive than <u>trans</u>-butene and we do not see the patent pattern of ambiphilicity exhibited by MeOCCl or PhOCCl.¹⁸ By operational (experimental) criteria,² we should continue to characterize PhCCl and PhCF as "electrophilic."

All carbenes have the potential for nucleophilic reactions with olefins; the crucial factor is whether the HOMO(carbene)/LUMO(alkene) interaction is comparable to or stronger than the LUMO(carbene)/HOMO(alkene) interaction in the transition state.² In this context, we find that \underline{k}_{abs} , measured by laser flash photolytic spectroscopy,¹⁹ for the additions of either PhCF on PhCC1 to methyl acrylate or acrylonitrile show these alkenes to be more reactive than 1-hexene: $10^{-6}\underline{k}_{abs}$ PhCF (L/mo1-sec) = 0.93,⁶ 1.4 and 1.2 for quenching by 1-hexene, methyl acrylate, or acrylonitrile, respectively (in isooctane); and similarly $10^{-6}\underline{k}_{abs}$ PhCC1 = 2.2,⁶ 5.1, and 5.4 in isooctane (0.94, 1.3, and 1.7 in acetonitrile). From the perspective of the unreactive monoalkylethylene, 1-hexene, therefore, the nucleophilic potentials of PhCF or PhCC1 are apparent.²⁰ Nevertheless, the most apposite experimental description of the empirical selectivity of the phenylhalocarbenes toward alkenes remains "electrophilic," at least at 25°C, where the quantitative data has been obtained.²¹ It is, of course, possible that further structural alteration of PhCX by substitution of a p-methoxy group would produce an ambiphilic carbene.⁶ This possibility remains to be rigorously tested.

<u>Acknowledgments</u>: We thank the National Science Foundation for support of this work at both Rutgers and Columbia Universities. Additionally, the Columbia authors thank the Air Force Office of Scientific Research for financial support.

4126



Figure 1. $Log(\underline{k_X/k_H})$ vs. σ^+ for the additions of PhCC1 (Δ) and PhCF \iff to $p-X-C_6H_4CH=CH_2$ at 25°C. The substituents are MeO, Me, H, C1, and CF₃; see ref. 8. The slopes and correlation coefficients are -0.32, 0.99 and -0.22, 0.97 for PhCC1 and PhCF, respectively. Both correlations are significant at the 99% confidence level.

Alkene	Carbene				
	PhCFa	PhCC1 ^b	MeCC1 ^c	PhOCC1 ^d	MeOCC1 ^e
Me ₂ C=CMe ₂	33.2	25.5	7.44	3.0	12.6
Me ₂ C=CHMe	12.0	16.0	4.69		
Me ₂ C=CH ₂	6.67	5.0	1.92	7.3	5.43
rans-MeCH=CHR	1.00 ^f	1.00f	1.00f	1.0g	1.00 ^f
CH2=CHCOOMe	0.74 ^h	0.50 ^h	0.078	3.7	29.7
CH2=CHCN	0.80 ^h	0.55 ^h	0.074	5.5	54.6

Table I. Carbene/Alkene Relative Reactivities at 25°C

aData from ref. 15, renormalized to <u>trans</u>-butene. ^bData from ref. 16, renormalized to <u>trans</u>-butene. ^CData from refs. 3 and 17. ^dData from ref. 13. ^eData from ref. 14. ^fR=Me. gR=Et. ^hNew data, normalized to <u>trans</u>-butene; cf., ref. 12.

References and Notes

- (1) (a) Current address: IBM Research Laboratory, San Jose, California 95120.
 (b) Current address: Eastman Kodak Research Laboratories, Rochester, N.Y. 14650.
- (2) R.A. Moss, Acc. Chem. Res., 13, 58 (1980).
- (3) R.A. Moss and R.C. Munjal, Tetrahedron Lett., 4721 (1979).
- (4) R.A. Moss in "Carbenes", Vol. I, M. Jones, Jr., and R.A. Moss, Ed., Wiley, New York, 1973, pp. 153 ff.
- (5) M. P. Doyle, J.W. Terpstra, and C.H. Winter, Tetrahedron Lett., 25, 901 (1984).
- (6) I.R. Gould, N.J. Turro, J. Butcher, Jr., C. Doubleday, Jr., N.P. Hacker, G.F. Lehr, R.A. Moss, D.P. Cox, W. Guo, R.C. Munjal, L.A. Perez, and M. Fedorynski, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, 41, 1587 (1985); see especially Fig. 11, p. 1595.
- (7) R.A. Moss, J. Terpinski, D.P. Cox, D.Z. Denney, and K. Krogh-Jespersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107, 2743 (1985); W.H. Graham, <u>ibid.</u>, 87, 4396 (1965).
- (8) kre1 for additions to p-X-C6H4CH=CH2; For PhCF; (X=) MeO, 1.56 ± .12; Me, 1.05 ± .01; H, 1.00; C1, 0.90 ± .10; CF3, 0.72 ± .01. For PhCC1; MeO, 1.92 ± .01; Me, 1.43 ± .01; H, 1.00; C1, 1.05 ± .02; CF3, 0.72 ± .02.
- (9) R.A. Moss and L.A. Perez, Tetrahedron Lett., 24, 2719 (1983).
- (10) R.A. Moss, W. Guo, and K. Krogh-Jespersen, Tetrahedron Lett., 23, 15 (1982).
- (11) W. Brück and H. Dürr, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 23, 2175 (1982). The cited ρ value (vs. σ⁺) is calculated from data in this reference.
- (12) <u>kre1</u> (vs. isobutene) for additions to CH₂=CHX; For PhCF; (X=) COOMe, 0.11 ± .01; CN, 0.12 ± .02. For PhCC1; COOMe, 0.10 ± .01; CN, 0.11 ± .01.
- (13) R.A. Moss, L.A. Perez, J. Włostowska, W. Guo, and K. Krogh-Jespersen, J. Org. Chem., <u>47</u>, 4177 (1982).
- (14) R.A. Moss, M. Fedorynski, and W-C. Shieh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 4736 (1979).
- (15) R.A. Moss and W. Ławrynowicz, J. Org. Chem., 49, 3828 (1984).
- (16) R.A. Moss, J.R. Whittle, and P. Freidenreich, J. Org. Chem., 34, 2220 (1969).
- (17) R.A. Moss and A. Mamantov, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 6951 (1970).
- (18) PhOCF is also ambiphilic: R.A. Moss and G. Kmiecik-Lawrynowicz, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, in press.
- (19) The technique and methodology are described in ref. 6. The data were obtained on both the Columbia and Rutgers facilities.
- (20) However kabs for PhCF or PhCCl additions to trans-pentene⁶ are larger than for additions to methyl acrylate or acrylonitrile, in qualitative agreement with the relative rate constants of Table I.
- (21) It is conceivable that selectivity alterations at higher temperatures could change the philicities of carbenes. See, for example, Giese's studies reviewed in: R.A. Moss and M. Jones, Jr., "Reactive Intermediates," Vol. 3, M. Jones, Jr., and R.A. Moss, Ed., Wiley, New York, 1985, pp. 75-78.

(Received in USA 28 May 1986)